



ACADEMIC  
PRESS

Available at  
**WWW.MATHEMATICSWEB.ORG**  
POWERED BY SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Journal of Approximation Theory 122 (2003) 249–259

---

---

JOURNAL OF  
**Approximation  
Theory**

---

---

<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jat>

# Bounds for symmetric elliptic integrals

Edward Neuman\*

*Department of Mathematics, Southern Illinois University Carbondale, Carbondale, IL 62901-4408, USA*

Received 13 September 2002; accepted in revised form 10 April 2003

Communicated by Mourad Ismail

---

## Abstract

Lower and upper bounds for the four standard incomplete symmetric elliptic integrals are obtained. The bounding functions are expressed in terms of the elementary transcendental functions. Sharp bounds for the ratio of the complete elliptic integrals of the second kind and the first kind are also derived. These results can be used to obtain bounds for the product of these integrals. It is shown that an iterative numerical algorithm for computing the ratios and products of complete integrals has the second order of convergence.

© 2003 Elsevier Science (USA). All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Standard elliptic integrals; The  $R$ -hypergeometric functions; Inequalities; Means

---

## 1. Introduction

In some problems that arise in science and engineering one has to deal often with the elliptic integrals. A classical result, which is due to Abel, states that they cannot be represented by the elementary transcendental functions. All integrals discussed in this paper are the standard elliptic integrals. They are homogeneous functions of two or three or four variables and they simplify to Legendre's elliptic integrals for special values of their variables. Bounds for the latter class of elliptic integrals can be found in [1–3,13].

The goal of this paper is to derive bounds for four incomplete elliptic integrals with the bounding functions being some elementary transcendental functions. These results are presented in Section 3. Bounds for the ratio of the complete integrals of

---

\*Fax: 618-453-5300.

*E-mail address:* [edneuman@math.siu.edu](mailto:edneuman@math.siu.edu).

the first and second kinds are obtained in Section 4. These results can be used to obtain bounds for the product of these integrals.

In what follows, we will assume that  $x, y, z$  are nonnegative numbers and that at most one of them is 0. The symmetric integral of the first kind is defined as

$$R_F(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty [(t+x)(t+y)(t+z)]^{-1/2} dt \quad (1.1)$$

(see, e.g., [7,8,10]). Clearly  $R_F$  is symmetric and homogeneous of degree  $-\frac{1}{2}$  in  $x, y, z$  and satisfies  $R_F(x, x, x) = x^{-1/2}$ .

Let  $p > 0$ . Elliptic integral of the third kind,

$$R_J(x, y, z, p) = \frac{3}{2} \int_0^\infty [(t+x)(t+y)(t+z)]^{-1/2} (t+p)^{-1} dt \quad (1.2)$$

is symmetric in  $x, y, z$ , homogeneous of degree  $-\frac{3}{2}$  in  $x, y, z, p$  and satisfies  $R_J(x, x, x, x) = x^{-3/2}$  (see [8,10]). A degenerate case of  $R_J$  is the elliptic integral of the second kind

$$\begin{aligned} R_D(x, y, z) &= R_J(x, y, z, z) \\ &= \frac{3}{2} \int_0^\infty [(t+x)(t+y)]^{-1/2} (t+z)^{-3/2} dt \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

which is symmetric in  $x$  and  $y$  only. A completely symmetric integral of the second kind

$$\begin{aligned} R_G(x, y, z) &= \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\infty [(t+x)(t+y)(t+z)]^{-1/2} \left( \frac{x}{t+x} + \frac{y}{t+y} + \frac{z}{t+z} \right) t dt \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

is symmetric and homogeneous of degree  $\frac{1}{2}$  in its variables, satisfies  $R_G(x, x, x) = x^{1/2}$ , and is well defined if any or all of  $x, y, z$  are 0 (see [7,8,10]). All four integrals defined above are the incomplete integrals. Two complete integrals, of the first kind and the second kind, are defined as follows:

$$R_K(x, y) = \frac{2}{\pi} R_F(x, y, 0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty [(t+x)(t+y)]^{-1/2} dt \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_E(x, y) &= \frac{4}{\pi} R_G(x, y, 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty [(t+x)(t+y)]^{-1/2} \left( \frac{x}{t+x} + \frac{y}{t+y} \right) t dt \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

(see [7,8,10]).

An important elementary transcendental function used in this paper, denoted by  $R_C$ , is the degenerate case of  $R_F$ ,

$$R_C(x, y) = R_F(x, y, y) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty (t+x)^{-1/2} (t+y)^{-1} dt \quad (1.7)$$

( $x \geq 0, y > 0$ ). It is known that

$$R_C(x, y) = \begin{cases} (y - x)^{-1/2} \arccos(x/y)^{1/2}, & x < y, \\ (x - y)^{-1/2} \operatorname{arccosh}(x/y)^{1/2}, & x > y \end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

(see [7, (6.9–15);10]). Let us note that  $R_C(0, y) = \pi/(2y^{1/2})$ .

## 2. The $R$ -hypergeometric functions

All elliptic integrals defined in Section 1 can be represented by the  $R$ -hypergeometric functions. For the reader’s convenience we give below a definition of this important class of special functions. In what follows, we will employ notation and definitions introduced in Carlson’s monograph [7]. The symbols  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\mathbb{R}_>$  will stand for the nonnegative semi-axis and the set of positive numbers, respectively. For  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  and  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_>^n$  the  $R$ -hypergeometric function of order  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  with the parameters  $b$  and variables  $X$  is defined as

$$R_{-a}(b; X) = \int_{E_{n-1}} (u \cdot X)^{-a} d\mu_b(u), \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$E_{n-1} = \{(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}): u_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n - 1, u_1 + \dots + u_{n-1} \leq 1\}$$

is the Euclidean simplex,  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, u_n)$  where  $u_n = 1 - u_1 - \dots - u_{n-1}$ ,  $u \cdot X = u_1x_1 + \dots + u_nx_n$  is the dot product of  $u$  and  $X$ ,

$$d\mu_b(u) = \frac{1}{B(b)} \prod_{i=1}^n u_i^{b_i-1} du$$

is the Dirichlet measure on  $E_{n-1}$ ,  $B$  stands for the multivariate beta function and  $du = du_1 \dots du_{n-1}$ . Function  $R_{-a}$  is also called the Dirichlet average of the power function  $t^{-a}$ .

Some elementary properties of  $R_{-a}$  are listed below:

- (i) A vanishing  $b$ -parameter can be omitted along with the corresponding variable.
- (ii) Permutation symmetry (symmetry in indices  $1, \dots, n$  which label the  $b$ -parameters and the variables).
- (iii) Equal variables can be replaced by a single variable if the corresponding parameters are replaced by their sum. In particular, if all variables are equal, then  $R_{-a}(x, \dots, x) = x^{-a}$ .

If  $a > 0$ , then the  $R$ -hypergeometric function  $R_{-a}$  admits another integral representation [7, (6.8-6)]

$$R_{-a}(b; X) = \frac{1}{B(a, a')} \int_0^\infty t^{a'-1} \prod_{i=1}^n (t + x_i)^{-b_i} dt, \tag{2.2}$$

where  $a' = b_1 + \dots + b_n - a > 0$ .

All six elliptic integrals defined in the previous section can be represented by the  $R$ -hypergeometric functions. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_F(x, y, z) &= R_{-1/2}(\tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}; x, y, z), \\
 R_J(x, y, z, p) &= R_{-3/2}(\tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}, 1; x, y, z, p), \\
 R_D(x, y, z) &= R_{-3/2}(\tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{3}{2}; x, y, z), \\
 R_G(x, y, z) &= R_{1/2}(\tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}; x, y, z), \\
 R_K(x, y) &= R_{-1/2}(\tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}; x, y), \\
 R_E(x, y) &= R_{1/2}(\tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}; x, y)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

(see [7,8]). First formula in (2.3) together with (1.7) give the known result

$$R_C(x, y) = R_{-1/2}(\tfrac{1}{2}, 1; x, y). \tag{2.4}$$

We close this section with three lemmas which will be used in Section 3.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $x \geq 0, y > 0, z > 0$  and let*

$$j(x, y, z) = \frac{3}{2} \int_0^\infty (t+x)^{-1/2} [(t+y)(t+z)]^{-1} dt. \tag{2.5}$$

Then

$$j(x, y, z) = R_{-3/2}(\tfrac{1}{2}, 1, 1; x, y, z) = R_J(x, y, y, z) \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$j(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} 3 \frac{R_C(x, y) - R_C(x, z)}{z - y}, & y \neq z, \\ 3 \frac{x^{1/2} - yR_C(x, y)}{2(x - y)y}, & x \neq y = z, \\ x^{-3/2} & x = y = z. \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

**Proof.** For the proof of (2.6) we use formulas (2.2) and (2.1) with  $a = 3/2, b = (1/2, 1, 1)$  and  $X = (x, y, z)$  and property (iii). We shall establish now (2.7). If  $y \neq z$  the first equation follows from (2.5) by partial-fraction decomposition and (1.7). Assume now that  $x \neq y = z$ . It follows from (2.6) that  $j(x, y, y) = R_{-3/2}(\tfrac{1}{2}, 2; x, y)$ . Next to the last line of Table 8.5-1 in [7] gives the second part of (2.7). The third part of (2.7) is an obvious consequence of (2.6) and property (iii).  $\square$

For later use we define two functions  $d(x, y)$  and  $g(x, y)$ , where

$$d(x, y) = j(x, x, y) \tag{2.8}$$

( $x > 0, y > 0$ ) and

$$g(x, y) = R_{1/2}(\tfrac{1}{2}, 1; x, y) = R_G(x, y, y) \tag{2.9}$$

( $x \geq 0, y > 0$ ). It follows from (2.7) that

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 3 \frac{R_C(x, y) - x^{-1/2}}{x - y}, & x \neq y, \\ x^{-3/2}, & x = y. \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

Function  $g$  can also be represented by the  $R$ -hypergeometric function  $R_C$ . First entry of Table 8.5-1 in [7] gives

$$g(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^{1/2} + yR_C(x, y)}{2}, & x \neq y, \\ x^{1/2}, & x = y. \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

Inequalities for the  $R$ -hypergeometric functions are contained in the next two lemmas.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3) \in \mathbb{R}_{>}^3$ ,  $X = (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}_{>}^3$  and assume that  $\min(X) < \max(X)$ . Also, let  $\lambda = b_1/(b_1 + b_2)$ ,  $\mu = b_2/(b_1 + b_2)$ . If  $0 < t < 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda R_t(b_1 + b_2, b_3; x, z) + \mu R_t(b_1 + b_2, b_3; y, z) &< R_t(b; X) \\ &< R_t(b_1 + b_2, b_3; \lambda x + \mu y, z). \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Inequalities in (2.12) are reversed if either  $t > 1$  or  $t < 0$  and they become equalities if  $t = 0$  or  $t = 1$  or  $x = y$ .

**Proof.** This follows immediately from Theorem 3 in [11, (4.18)].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $b \in \mathbb{R}_{>}^n$ ,  $X \in \mathbb{R}_{>}^n$  and assume that  $\min(X) < \max(X)$ . Then the following inequalities

$$R_{-a}(b; X)R_a(b; X) > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad R_{-a}(b; X) + R_a(b; X) > 2 \quad (2.13)$$

hold true for any  $a \neq 0$ .

**Proof.** It is known that the  $R$ -hypergeometric function  $R_t$  is strictly log-convex in  $t$  (see [4, Theorem 4]; [7, Appendix B]). Thus

$$R_p^\lambda R_q^{1-\lambda} > R_{\lambda p + (1-\lambda)q}$$

( $pq \neq 0, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ ). Letting above  $-p = q = a$  and  $\lambda = 1/2$ , we obtain

$$R_{-a}R_a > R_0^2 = 1.$$

The second inequality in (2.13) follows from the first one and the arithmetic mean–geometric mean inequality.  $\square$

It follows from (2.13) and (2.3) that

$$R_F R_G > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad R_F + R_G > 2 \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$R_K R_E > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad R_E + R_K > 2. \tag{2.15}$$

Inequalities (2.15) also follow from Theorem 1.1 in [2].

### 3. Bounds for the incomplete elliptic integrals

Lower and upper bounds for the four elliptic integrals  $R_F$ ,  $R_J$ ,  $R_D$ , and  $R_G$  are derived in this section.

In what follows, the letters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  will stand for the roots of the Chebyshev polynomial  $T_2(t) = 8t^2 - 8t + 1$  on  $[0, 1]$ , i.e.,  $\alpha = (1 - 1/\sqrt{2})/2$  and  $\beta = (1 + 1/\sqrt{2})/2$ . The following inequalities will be used in the proof of the main result of this section.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $x > 0$ ,  $y > 0$ ,  $A = (x + y)/2$  and let  $u = \alpha x + \beta y$  and  $v = \alpha y + \beta x$ . Then the following inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{t+u} + \frac{1}{t+v} \right) &\leq [(t+x)(t+y)]^{-1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{t+A} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{t+x} + \frac{1}{t+y} \right) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

are satisfied for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

**Proof.** By the inequality of arithmetic and geometric means of  $a^2$  and  $g^2$  we have

$$\frac{2ag}{a^2 + g^2} \leq 1 \leq \frac{a^2 + g^2}{2ag}.$$

Dividing all three terms by  $g$ , and letting  $a$  and  $g$  be the arithmetic and geometric means, respectively, of  $t + x$  and  $t + y$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2(t+A)}{(t+A)^2 + (t+x)(t+y)} &\leq [(t+x)(t+y)]^{-1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{(t+A)^2 + (t+x)(t+y)}{2(t+A)(t+x)(t+y)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Partial-fraction decomposition of the rational functions in (3.2) gives the desired result.  $\square$

Let us note that the first and third members of (3.1) provide shape preserving approximations to the function  $[(t+x)(t+y)]^{-1/2}$ , i.e., they share monotonicity and convexity properties of the function to be approximated.

We are now in a position to state and prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $z$  and  $p$  be nonnegative numbers and let the symbols  $u$ ,  $v$ , and  $A$  have the same meaning as in Lemma 3.1. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}[R_C(z, u) + R_C(z, v)] \\ & \leq R_F(x, y, z) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}[R_C(z, A) + \frac{1}{2}(R_C(z, x) + R_C(z, y))] \quad (x > 0, y > 0), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}[j(z, p, u) + j(z, p, v)] \\ & \leq R_J(x, y, z, p) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}[j(z, p, A) + \frac{1}{2}(j(z, p, x) + j(z, p, y))] \quad (x > 0, y > 0, p > 0) \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}[g(z, x) + g(z, y)] \leq R_G(x, y, z) \leq g(z, A) \quad (x > 0, y > 0). \quad (3.5)$$

Inequalities (3.3)–(3.5) become equalities if either  $x = y$  or  $x = y = z$  or  $x = y = z = p$  where the latter condition applies to (3.4) only.

**Proof.** For the proof of (3.3) we multiply all terms in (3.1) by  $(1/2)(t+z)^{-1/2}$  and next integrate, from 0 to infinity, all members of the resulting inequality. Application of (1.1) and (1.7) completes the proof. Inequalities (3.4) are derived from (3.1) in an analogous manner. First, we multiply all members by  $(3/2)(t+z)^{-1/2}(t+p)^{-1}$  and next use formulas (1.2) and (2.5). Inequalities (3.5) follow from (2.12) by letting  $t = b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = 1/2$ . The fourth formula in (2.3) is used together with (2.9) and the permutation symmetry (ii), to obtain the desired result. The last statement of the theorem follows from the fact that the first and third members in (3.3)–(3.5) are equal in the stated cases.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $x > 0$ ,  $y > 0$ , and  $z > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}[d(z+u) + d(z,v)] \leq R_D(x, y, z) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}[d(z, A) + \frac{1}{2}(d(z, x) + d(z, y))]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Equalities hold in (3.6) only if  $x = y$  or  $x = y = z$ .

**Proof.** This result follows immediately from (1.3), (3.4), and (2.8).  $\square$

New bounds for the function  $R_C$  have been established in [12, Theorem 3.3]:

$$3/(p_n + 2q_n) \leq R_C(x, y) \leq (p_n q_n^2)^{-1/3} \quad (3.7)$$

( $x \geq 0$ ,  $y > 0$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ), where the sequences  $\{p_n\}_0^\infty$  and  $\{q_n\}_0^\infty$  are defined as  $p_0 = \sqrt{x}$ ,  $q_0 = \sqrt{y}$ ,  $p_{n+1} = (p_n + q_n)/2$  and  $q_{n+1} = (p_{n+1} q_n)^{1/2}$  ( $n \geq 0$ ). Inequalities (3.7) together with the results of Theorem 3.2 provide weaker bounds for the integrals in question. We omit further details.

The following result

$$R_C(z, A) \leq R_F(x, y, z) \leq R_C(z, \sqrt{xy}) \tag{3.8}$$

is known (see [10]). We shall show that the lower bound in (3.8) is weaker than the corresponding bound in (3.3). To this aim we use the fact that the function  $R_C(\cdot, y)$  is a convex function in  $y$ . This in turn implies that

$$\frac{1}{2}[R_C(z, u) + R_C(z, v)] \geq R_C\left(z, \frac{u+v}{2}\right) = R_C(z, A).$$

More bounds for the incomplete symmetric elliptic integrals can be found in [5,6,9,10].

#### 4. Bounds for the ratio $R_E/R_K$

The goal of this section is to derive simple bounds for the ratio  $R_E(x, y)/R_K(x, y)$  ( $x > 0, y > 0$ ). For later use, we recall the definition of the celebrated Gauss arithmetic–geometric mean  $AGM \equiv AGM(x, y)$  of  $x$  and  $y$ . For  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  let

$$a_0 = x, \quad b_0 = y, \quad a_{n+1} = \frac{a_n + b_n}{2}, \quad b_{n+1} = \sqrt{a_n b_n}. \tag{4.1}$$

It is well known that

- (a)  $b_n \leq b_{n+1} \leq \dots < AGM < \dots \leq a_{n+1} \leq a_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ),
- (b)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = AGM$ ,
- (c)  $R_K(x, y)AGM(\sqrt{x}, \sqrt{y}) = 1$ ,

(see, e.g., [7, Ex. 6.10-8]).

We need the following.

**Lemma 4.1.** *The following inequalities*

$$\sqrt{xy} \leq \frac{R_E(x, y)}{R_K(x, y)} \leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y}}{2}\right)^2 \tag{4.2}$$

hold true.

**Proof.** We multiply (c) by  $R_E$  and use (2.15) and (a) to prove that

$$R_E(x, y) \geq AGM(\sqrt{x}, \sqrt{y}) \geq (xy)^{1/4}. \tag{4.3}$$

Application of (c) to (4.3) gives the first inequality in (4.2). For the proof of the second inequality in (4.2) we use the following result [7, Problem 9.5-4]

$$\frac{R_E(x^2, y^2)}{R_K(x^2, y^2)} = a_1^2 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n (a_{n+1}^2 - b_{n+1}^2) \leq a_1^2 = \left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)^2,$$

where the sequences  $\{a_n\}_0^\infty$  and  $\{b_n\}_0^\infty$  are defined in (4.1). Replacing  $x$  by  $x^{1/2}$  and  $y$  by  $y^{1/2}$  we obtain the desired result.  $\square$



Before we state and prove the main result of this section let us introduce more notation. Two sequences  $\{x_n\}_0^\infty$  and  $\{y_n\}_0^\infty$  are defined recursively as follows:

$$x_0 = x, \quad y_0 = y, \quad x_{n+1} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{x_n} + \sqrt{y_n}}{2}\right)^2, \quad y_{n+1} = \sqrt{x_n y_n}, \tag{4.4}$$

$n = 0, 1, \dots$ . Since these sequences are related to (4.1) by  $x_n = a_n^2$  and  $y_n = b_n^2$ , it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = AGM(\sqrt{x}, \sqrt{y})^2.$$

Also, we will need another pair of sequences, denoted by  $\{u_n\}_0^\infty$  and  $\{v_n\}_0^\infty$ , where

$$u_n = 2^n x_{n+1} - \delta_n, \quad v_n = 2^n y_{n+1} - \delta_n \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\delta_n = \sum_{k=1}^n 2^{k-1} y_k, \tag{4.6}$$

$n = 0, 1, \dots$ .

The main result of this section, generalizing (4.2) (the case  $n = 0$ ) and Corollary 4.3 (the case  $n = 1$ ), reads as follows

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . Then*

$$v_n \leq \frac{R_E(x, y)}{R_K(x, y)} \leq u_n, \tag{4.7}$$

where the lower and upper bounds in (4.7) are monotonic in the following sense:

$$v_{n-1} \leq v_n \leq u_n \leq u_{n-1} \tag{4.8}$$

( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ).

**Proof.** We use the Landen transformation

$$R_E(x, y) = 2R_E\left[\left(\frac{\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y}}{2}\right)^2, \sqrt{xy}\right] - \sqrt{xy}R_K(x, y)$$

(see [7, Problem 9.5-2]) together with the quadratic transformation for  $R_K$

$$R_K(x, y) = R_K\left[\left(\frac{\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y}}{2}\right)^2, \sqrt{xy}\right]$$

(see [7, (6.10-5)]) and (4.4) to obtain

$$\frac{R_E(x, y)}{R_K(x, y)} = 2 \frac{R_E(x_1, y_1)}{R_K(x_1, y_1)} - y_1. \tag{4.9}$$

Repeated application of (4.9) implies the following result:

$$\frac{R_E(x, y)}{R_K(x, y)} = 2^n \frac{R_E(x_n, y_n)}{R_K(x_n, y_n)} - \delta_n$$

( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ). Application of (4.2) to the first term on the right-hand side of the last formula gives, in conjunction with (4.4), the desired result (4.7). For the proof (4.8) we rewrite formulas (4.5) as

$$u_n = u_{n-1} + 2^n \left( x_{n+1} - \frac{x_n + y_n}{2} \right) \tag{4.10}$$

and

$$v_n = v_{n-1} + 2^n (y_{n+1} - y_n) \tag{4.11}$$

( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ;  $u_0 = ((\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y})/2)^2$ ,  $v_0 = \sqrt{xy}$ ). The first inequality in (4.8) follows from (4.11) because  $y_n \leq y_{n+1}$  and the third inequality in (4.8) is a consequence of

$$x_{n+1} = \left( \frac{\sqrt{x_n} + \sqrt{y_n}}{2} \right)^2 \leq \frac{x_n + y_n}{2}.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $A = (x + y)/2$  and  $G = \sqrt{xy}$ . Then*

$$2\sqrt{\frac{A + G}{2}G} - G \leq \frac{R_E(x, y)}{R_K(x, y)} \leq \sqrt{\frac{A + G}{2}G} + \frac{1}{4}(A - G).$$

**Proof.** This follows from (4.7) and (4.10)–(4.11) by letting  $n = 1$ .  $\square$

Let us note that the sequence  $\{u_n - v_n\}_0^\infty$  converges quadratically to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This is a consequence of the following formula:

$$\frac{u_n - v_n}{(u_{n-1} - v_{n-1})^2} = \frac{1}{2^{n+2}x_{n+1}} \tag{4.12}$$

( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ). For the proof of (4.12) we use (4.4)–(4.6) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u_n - v_n &= 2^n(x_{n+1} - y_{n+1}) = 2^n \left( \frac{\sqrt{x_n} - \sqrt{y_n}}{2} \right)^2 = 2^n \frac{[2^{n-1}(x_n - y_n)]^2}{[2^n(\sqrt{x_n} + \sqrt{y_n})]^2} \\ &= \frac{(u_{n-1} - v_{n-1})^2}{2^n(\sqrt{x_n} + \sqrt{y_n})^2} = \frac{(u_{n-1} - v_{n-1})^2}{2^{n+2}x_{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (4.12) follows.

We close this section with the remark that the product and the quotient of  $R_E$  and  $R_K$  satisfy the following relation:

$$R_E(x, y)R_K(x, y) = \frac{R_E(x, y)}{AGM(\sqrt{x}, \sqrt{y})^2 R_K(x, y)}$$

which follows easily from (c).

## Acknowledgments

The author is indebted to an anonymous referee for several constructive comments on the first draft of this paper. In particular, the referee suggested a new proof of the improved version of Lemma 3.1.

## References

- [1] G.D. Anderson, M.K. Vamanamurthy, M. Vuorinen, Functional inequalities for complete elliptic integrals and their ratios, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 21 (2) (1990) 536–549.
- [2] G.D. Anderson, M.K. Vamanamurthy, M. Vuorinen, Functional inequalities for hypergeometric functions and complete elliptic integrals, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 23 (2) (1992) 512–524.
- [3] B.C. Carlson, Some series and bounds for incomplete elliptic integrals, *J. Math. Phys.* 40 (1961) 125–134.
- [4] B.C. Carlson, A hypergeometric mean value, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 16 (4) (1965) 759–766.
- [5] B.C. Carlson, Some inequalities for hypergeometric functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 17 (1) (1966) 32–39.
- [6] B.C. Carlson, Inequalities for a symmetric elliptic integral, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 25 (3) (1970) 698–703.
- [7] B.C. Carlson, *Special Functions of Applied Mathematics*, Academic Press, New York, 1977.
- [8] B.C. Carlson, Numerical computation of real or complex elliptic integrals, *Numer. Algorithms* 10 (1995) 13–26.
- [9] B.C. Carlson, J.L. Gustafson, Asymptotic expansion of the first elliptic integral, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 16 (5) (1985) 1072–1092.
- [10] B.C. Carlson, J.L. Gustafson, Asymptotic approximations for symmetric elliptic integrals, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 25 (2) (1994) 288–303.
- [11] E. Neuman, The weighted logarithmic mean, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 188 (3) (1994) 885–900.
- [12] E. Neuman, J. Sándor, On the Schwab-Borchardt mean, submitted.
- [13] M.K. Vamanamurthy, M. Vuorinen, Inequalities for means, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 183 (1994) 155–166.